

#7/Arnold B  
(NE)  
4-7-03  
80

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: James John Wilson et al )  
For: REDUCED NOISE MULTI- )  
RIBBED TRANSMISSION BELT )  
Serial No. 09/893,156 )  
Filed: June 27, 2001 )

Confirmation No. 3836  
Docket No. DN2001117  
Art Unit: 3682

Examiner: Justin Stefanon

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being  
submitted via facsimile transmission to the USPTO  
to 703-305-7687, on April 3, 2003  
(Date of Deposit)

Assistant Commissioner of Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Nancy T. Krawczyk  
(Name of Registered Representative)  
[Signature]  
(Signature) April 2, 2003  
(Date of Signature)

## RESPONSE TO FINAL OFFICE ACTION

OFFICIAL

Dear Sir:

In response to the Final Office Action mailed on March 11, 2003, Applicants make  
the following remarks.

TAX RECEIVED

### REMARKS

APR 04 2003

GROUP 3600

#### 35 U.S.C. § 102(b)

Claims 1-4 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent  
No. 5,215,504 to Wong et al. This rejection is traversed for the reasons set forth below.

In paragraph 4 of the Final Office Action, it states that "Applicant correctly asserts  
that the longitudinally grooves of Wong do not lie along the longitudinal direction of the  
belt." The position is taken that the grooves 18 of Wong have a "longitudinal direction of  
their own, transverse to the longitudinal direction of the belt". By the statements in  
Paragraph 4 of the Office Action, it is being acknowledged that the longitudinal direction of  
the belt of Wong as shown in Figures 2A-2C is from right to left, or left to right. Thus the  
longitudinal length of a belt element, whether it is a groove or a cog, is also from right to left  
or left to right in the Figures.

Claim 1 recites that the grooves of the belt form "transverse rows of cogs on the belt  
inner surface, wherein the rows of cogs have at least three different longitudinal lengths, and  
the rows of differing lengths are randomly arranged, in a non-sequential manner, along the  
entire length of the belt." Regardless of how grooves 12 or 18 of Wong are characterized, as

Emergency  
not recommended  
SS. 4.21.57